

Local Work Group development of local EQIP.

Swift County (Benson Minnesota)

District FY05 EQIP

1. List the local resource concerns that EQIP can address:

- Water Quality
- Water Quantity
- Soil Erosion
- Nutrient Management

2. If applicable, list any geographic regions (i.e. watersheds, townships, etc.) and their respective resource concerns within the District to receive priority:

Swift County (the entire county is a priority)

3. Prioritize and weight each local resource concern for the district. Weight must be between 1 and 10:

Factor	Resource Priority	Weight
A1. Erosion Control	High	8
A2 Gully Control	Medium	4
B1 Water Resource	High	10
B2 Wastewater/CNMP	High	7
C Habitat Improvement	Medium	4
D Air Quality	Medium	5
E Impaired Water	Low	1
F Distance	Low	1
G Grazing System	Low	1
H Forest Mgt.	Low	1
Additional Local*	Native Prairie Conservation	6

* If the additional local concern is scored, describe the concern here and how points will be scored. Include any geographic priorities.

Any practice that will enhance wildlife located in a native ruminant prairie will receive 1 additional point on the local resource concerns portion of the score sheet.

4. Attach the scoring worksheet as recommended for the district.
5. List any recommended practices to be deleted from the state Conservation Practice Payment Document

The local EQIP program description, cost-share docket changes, and ranking worksheet must be reviewed and approved by the State Conservationist before any EQIP contract is approved and signed.

This document serves as the Local Work Group recommendation for FY 05 EQIP. Attached is a roster of participation in the Local Work Group.

